A-1666 to 7.R Dis File No. 654

1939.

Collection No.

23.7.47

Resident's Office
Bangalore

(10)

Pros. / Serial Nos. 1-4 P.15/S.N.5

Subject.

Recovery of cost of Services rendered by the Finger Print Bureau (Police) Madras to City Str. Bangalore

| Previous References. | Later References. |
|---|---|
| | BJ 366/40 |

P.U.C.

D.No. 11829 S.N. 1

---

1 Draft submitted.

(Budget clerk to see)

26-11-39

P.C.-
22-4-39

S.N. (2) Issue

13-1-40

C.p. 4-5 (PUC)

D.No.13138 S.N.3

---

C.p. 1 & 3 'E'

2 Please see S.N. 1 and 2.

Draft submitted.

10-1-40

11.1.40

(C.P. may see before issue.)

3 I have slightly amended the draft after discussion with C.P. I understand that he considers that Scaules may be paid for, as they are mainly in our interest. & will he let us know how many there will be every year? I think it better to hold up this answer until we can fix up alternative arrangement is F.P. Tester from Mysore, if possible. I think we had better hold off the general question, which will not appeal to readers.

C.P. 6.1.40.

~~It would be very difficult to ascertain the exact number of references both because [illegible] as this could not [illegible]~~

4 In 1939, 143 F.P.s were traced + 217 untraced by the Inland Revenue, and in 1938, 127 were traced and 282 untraced – i.e. 360 references per search in 1939 + 409 in 1938. These figures may not be absolutely accurate but are near enough.

5 As regards paying for searches, I do not think we need accept payment without argument. If searches are limited to really necessary cases, they will be as much in the interest of taxpayers, who are equally interested in the locating of their bad characters who may be here + perhaps actually wanted in business cases.

6 I would suggest arguing on these lines in the first instance, + stating that every effort will be made to limit references to necessary cases. If need be, I will have all references sent to me for scrutiny first. I have suggested a modification of the scope on this point.

[illegible] 30.1.40.

The Secretary to the Board of Inland Revenue.

7 I held this up as I was going on to Madras and I thought I would talk to MacEwen. I did not get much out of him. Draft in view of Commissioner's note and subsequent ~~opinions~~ information may be as follows. Commissioner of Police may see draft first.

H. Fulgar.
9/2/40.

Com of Police U/o.

Thanks. Perhaps the small addition to para 3. (1) that I have pencilled.

I have not yet actually made arrangements to retain an A.I. I am now writing to C.P. Lyons to ask if he will do it. I don't anticipate any difficulty.

Actually, I would really prefer the Madras A.I. to come, if Madras are willing to send him, because a check on the work done by an entirely disinterested outsider is not without its value.

[illegible]

Secretary (Thro' the Hon'ble the Resident (U/o)

Receiving be issued.

Resident.

H. Fulgar 14/2/40. V.G. 16/2

S. N. (4) Issued.

(Main file taken by Coorg office)

D.No. 1859 PUC

---

10 A reply was sent on 21-2-40. The PUC may be filed.

24-2-40

26/2/40

Record

6/52 6/5/40

D.No.4040 S.N. 6

---

C.p. 1 & 6 'E' 11 Please see S.N. 1 and 4.

The PUC may be filed.

8-5-40

8.5.40

Record

11/52

S.N.I

Home Department,

No.19132 A-5.

****

From

R. MacEwen Esq., C.I.E., M.C., I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,

To

OFFICE OF THE
RESIDENT
BANGALORE.
Diary No. 11,829
File No.

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Dated Fort St. George the 20th November 1939.

Sir,

Police - Finger Print Bureau, Madras - Services rendered to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore - Recovery of cost.

---

I am directed to address you on the question of recovering from the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, the cost of services rendered to that Administration by the Finger Print Bureau, Madras.

2. As the Civil and Military Station has no Finger Print Bureau of its own, finger-print slips of suspects in that Station and of persons convicted there are sent to the Finger Print Bureau, Madras, the former for tracing the antecedents of the suspects and the latter to be recorded in the Bureau.

A Tester Sub-Inspector of the Finger Print Bureau, Madras, visits the Bangalore Jail, one day in every month, to test the finger print slips of the convicts in that Jail.

3. Based on the figures for the three years from 1936-1938, on an average 676 finger print slips are received every year in the Finger Print Bureau, Madras from the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. The average number of finger print slips which can be dealt with in a day being only 9, it takes about 75 days to deal

deal with 676 slips. An equal number of days is also required for scriptory work connected with these slips. On this basis the work that is being done by the Finger Print Bureau, Madras for the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, requires the employment of a clerk for 150 working days, or roughly 6½ months including Sundays and other holidays.

4. In the Finger Print Bureau, Madras, work relating to recording, classifying and searching finger print slips is done by upper division clerks whose average pay is Rs.81-4-0 per mensem. A sum of Rs.647-7-3 as detailed in Annexure I to this letter is therefore recoverable annually from the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore in respect of the work referred to in paragraph 3 above. A further sum of Rs.155-5-10, as shown in Annexure II to this letter, is also recoverable from that Administration on account of the work done by the Tester Sub-Inspector who visits the Bangalore jail once a month to test the finger print slips of the convicts in that jail. Thus the total amount recoverable annually from the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore on account of the services rendered to that Administration by the Finger Print Bureau, Madras is Rs.802-13-1 or Rs.803 roundly. The Government of Madras consider that a claim should be made retrospectively for a period of 3 years prior to 1st June 1939, which is the date of detection of this claim. I am accordingly to request that, if you agree, the amount due for the period commencing on 1st June 1936 and ending on 31st March 1940 at the rate of Rs.803/- per year may be paid to the credit of the Government of Madras before the close of the financial year 1939-40 and that

contributions

contributions in respect of future years may be paid by the 1st October in each year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government.

ANNEXURE I.

| | Rs. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pay of 1 Upper Division clerk for 6½ months at Rs.81-4-0 p.m. | 528 | 2 | 0 |
| Leave charges. ... | 66 | 0 | 3 |
| Pensionary charges. ... | 53 | 5 | 0 |
| Total. | 647 | 7 | 3 |

ANNEXURE II.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Travelling Allowance of a Tester Sub-Inspector for 12 months at one trip per month to the Bangalore Jail and back. ... | 112 | 8 | 0 |
| Pay of a Tester Sub-Inspector for 12 days in a year at 1 day for one month on the average pay of Rs.86-14-3 per mensem. ... | 34 | 12 | 0 |
| Leave charges. ... | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Pensionary charges. ... | 3 | 12 | 4 |
| Total. | 155 | 5 | 10 |

S.N. 2

THE OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore, the* 13th December 1939.

R 654/
D.Dis. No. 39/G.& A. Enc 1.

A copy of the undermentioned paper(s) is forwarded to the Commr. of Police, C.& M. Station, Bangalore, for favour of remarks.

for information, ~~in continuation of~~ / with reference to ~~the correspondence ending with (his)~~

~~Memorandum~~ / ~~letter~~ / endorsement from

~~No~~ , ~~dated the~~

By order, etc.,

For ~~Secretary~~ / ~~Asst. Secy~~. to the Resident in Mysore.

---

List of papers. (Home Dept.)

S.N. 1 (PUC) Letter from the Govt. of Madras No. 19132 A-5, dated the 20th November 1939, with enclosures.

26-11-39

27.11.39

S.N.3

No. 2382/Ag.(E.I). Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.
Dated 21st Dec. 1939.

The Civil and Military Station is in the middle of Mysore. Mysore has its own Bureau, to which we already refer, pari -passu with Madras, for searches and recording of Finger Prints.

Civil and Military Station and Mysore have obviously got to co-operate and are mutually interested in the recording of each others' Finger Prints of convicts and in searching for suspects.

References to Madras Finger Print Bureau are:

(a) search requests
(b) recording of Finger Prints of convicts
(c) occasional technical work i.e. detective work

as regards (a) the need to refer to Madras may be only in comparatively few cases. They can be reduced to the minimum. I have some time ago told officers to avoid the many unncessary references that were being made as mamool in almost every case.

We might suggest payment for actual work done.

as regards (b): again we need refer Finger Prints to Madras for record in comparatively few cases in which Madras might be interested.

as regards (c) The Mysore Bureau can probably do most of the occasional work necessary. We might pay Madras for actual work done.

The whole question should be primarily considered from the point of view of mutual co-operation and assistance in prevention and detection of crime. If the present Madras claim is pressed further it would lead to endless claims and counter-claims for work done between all the various Bureaux in India. Special work such as photography of objects with Finger Prints on them and such like technical and complicated matters might reasonably be paid for.

If Madras does not want us to send them Finger Prints for record in their own interest, we need not send them. Again sending of Finger Prints for search also helps Madras to know where their bad characters are.

I have discussed the matter with Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore. His attitude is that Mysore should not ask for payment for routine searching and recording of Finger Prints as Mysore is just as interested in criminals of Civil and Military Station as in their own. At the same time their Finger Print Bureau is understaffed and there might be good reasons to pay the Mysore Bureau something for what they do for us, to help them to function more adequately. They could reasonably ask to be paid for special work.

Mysore Bureau is getting out figures of work done for us, and also for work done for Madras.

As regards the services of an Finger ~~Pringer~~ Print Tester, Mysore do not use one at all. I see no reason why I should not arrange one Mysore Sub-Inspectors to do this work. He can be trained specially for it if necessary.

I would suggest a preliminary reference to Madras to ask for separate figures for

(a) Searches
(b) recording

before we go further into the matter.

At the same time it might be asked what is the relative allocation of time to searching and to recording. Is not recording quicker than searching? How would their claim be allocated between searching and recording?

Perhaps it might also be noted that if the claim is preseed, it would probably result in our discontinuing to make use of the Madras Bureau and they might consider whether this would be prejudicial to their work or not

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

S.N.2 (E) with reference to his letter No.R.Dis.No.654/39/G & A dated 13th Dec.1939.

2-1-40

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
26 DEC. 1939
Diary No. 13138
File No. 22 654/39

S.N.4

6

done 15/2/40

Issued 21/2/40

**DEPARTMENT.**

**BRANCH.**

File No.

Serial No.

**Draft** Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

| Class for telegrams | INLAND: | FOREIGN: |
|---|---|---|
| | Immediate | Immediate |
| | Important | Important |
| | Express | Ordinary |
| | Ordinary | Without priority |

No. 652/39 Dated 21st Feb 1940

From

The Secy. to the Resident.

To

The Secy. to the Govt.
of Madras, Home Dept.

| To be issued on | Issued on |
|---|---|
| 1st Reminder | |
| 2nd Reminder | |
| 3rd Reminder | |
| Heading: | |
| Case or Diary No. | |
| If post copy of telegram required | |
| Date of despatch of above | |
| List of enclosures | |

(Code word for telegrams.)

S.N.1 'E'

Recovery of cost for services rendered by the Madras Finger Print Bureau to the C & M Station, B'lore

- - -

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 19132-A-5, dated the 20th November 1939.

2. The Resident considers that recoveries on account of finger print work should not be made since such work is done by all Bureaus throughout India for the mutual advantage of all the administrations concerned and though the C & M Station, Bangalore, has no Bureau, the finger print work don on its behalf by the Madras Bureau must be of considerable advantage to the Madras Police als In any case, the Resident cannot admit that even if a charge is to be levied on these services, it should be levied with retrospecti effect

VB 21/2

S. 5.
MGIPC—S5—1595(S&P)MFP—(M-944)—6-3-39—60,000 Pads.

effect, since he had no previous notice that the charge ~~will~~ would be made.

3. The work done by the Madras Bureau may be classified under four heads:

(1) Visit of the Finger Tester to the Bangalore Jail.

A Sub-Inspector of the C & M Stn. Police is being trained in this work and shortly it will not be necessary to ask for the Sub-Inspector from Madras to visit the Bangalore Jail.

(2) Recording of finger prints.

Prints are sent for record to Madras in the interests of Madras and if they are not required they will no be sent any more.

(3) Requests for searches.

These have been rather a large number, but arrangements are being ma now to cut them down very much. Althou they are mainly for the advantage of the C & M Station, the work should be of use to the Madras Govt. also.

(4) Requests for special technical assistance (e.g. photographing prints on objects, etc.)

Requests for such assistance are comparatively ~~low~~ few and if they involve extra expenditure ~~entirely~~ on labour & material this administration will be willing to pay for particular work performed.

I have etc.,

sd/- H. Trevelyan,

Secy. to the Resident.

S.N.6

9

INDIAN AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

(Original in Coorg file No.2s 542/39) R Dis 654/39

Subject:- Recovery of the cost of services rendered by the Finger Print Bureau, Madras, to other administrations.

---

No.Pol.1-9-43. Fort St. George, Madras,

dated the 6th April 1940.

7-5-40

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE, BANGALORE. 19 APR. 1940 Diary No 4040 File No.....

From

The Accountant General,
Madras, (.T.A.D.VIII).

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No.19132-A-8, dated 20-11-1939, on the subject indicated above, I have the honour to state that the adjustments of the amounts due from the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and the Coorg Administration, have not been effected in the accounts for 1939-40, as the acceptance of the debits by the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore and the Chief Commissioner, Coorg, has not been communicated to this office. The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner, Coorg, has intimated to this office that the Government of Madras have been addressed to waive the claim.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

Sd/- A.K. Raman.

DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

No.Pol.1-9-44, dated 6-4-1940.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner, Coorg Bangalore, for information.

Recd: from J.C. on 7-5-40

Sd/- A.K. Raman.

DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Copy to R.O.

P.R.